Exhibit 7

James Veach - Re: 450500/2016 Maria T. Vullo - v. - Health Republic Insurance of New York Corp.

From:	James Veach <jveach@moundcotton.com>
To:	"Slack, Richard"
Date:	10/11/2016 9:12 AM
Subject:	Re: 450500/2016 Maria T. Vullo - v. - Health Republic Insurance of New York Corp.
CC:	"cedmead@nycourts.gov"; "John P. Kelly"; Debora Hoehne; Gary Holtzer

Dear Justice Edmead:

Addressing Mr. Slack's letter, I do not intend this morning to comment on or bring any "new issues" into this proceeding.

With the Court's permission, I intend only to comment, in an amicus capacity and based on thirty or so years experience handling receivership matters in New York and other states, on matters that this Court raised during the July 28th status conference, i.e., how much money is being spent from the estate's limited assets and to whom is this money going?

This Court would be entitled to hire an expert, objective advisor in this proceeding if the Court so chose and that has been done in a NY State insurer insolvency, but that would cost the estate more money. Mr. Slack does not challenge my credentials and I am seeking neither compensation nor publicity. I fail to see what Mr. Slack is so worried about.

In an amicus role I would speak concerning the matters raised in my November 30th letter, to wit - the lack of a balance sheet for the estate, the gaps in the information provided by counsel with respect to the Health Republic (taxpayer) money that has been spent from October 27, 2015 to date, and the leisurely pace taken by the Superintendent/Liquidator and her counsel insofar as payments to policyholders is concerned.

Again, I respectfully request permission to comment on the lack of information provided to Health Republic's former policyholders (and other creditors) concerning the estate's assets, liabilities, and ongoing expenses.

Finally, I would be delighted to cede my role to a policyholders committee, but I suspect Mr. Slack would object to a committee as well.

Respectfully,